Image

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → +

TRANSMITTAL FORM (Lape used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number	10/089,691
		Filing Date	April 3, 2002
		Inventor(s)	Andre ERIKSEN et al.
		Group Art Unit	2835
		Examiner Name	Datskovskiy, Michael V.
E)		Attorney Docket Number	45900-000728/us
	ENCLO	OSURES (check all that apply)	
Fee Transmittal Form		ment Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached		o the Official Draftsperson and Sheets of Formal Drawing(s)	LETTER SUBMITTING APPEAL BRIEF AND APPEAL BRIEF (w/cleaversion of pending claims)
Response to Restriction	Licensi	ng-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Notice of Appeal, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final	Petition	1	Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	ı —	n to Convert to a onal Application	Status Letter
Extension of Time Request	Power Change	of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Reque	st 🗔	al Disclaimer st for Refund	
☐ Information Disclosure Statem	1_	CD, Number of CD(s)	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remai	rks	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			
	SIGNATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, C	DR AGENT
Firm	ickey & Fierce/P.U/C	Attarnay Name	Reg. No. 35,094
Signature	1/11		
Date December	December 29, 2003		





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Andre ERIKSEN et al.

CONF. NO.: 5550

SERIAL NO.:

10/089,691

GROUP:

2835

FILED:

July 22, 2002

EXAMINER: Datskovskiy, Michael V.

FOR:

COMPUTER SYSTEM COMPRISING A COOLING SYSTEM

DOCKET NO.:

45900-000728/US

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

December 29, 2003

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Examiner's Restriction Requirement dated November 25, 2003, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-referenced application.

REMARKS

The Examiner has objected to claims 5-7, 12 and 29 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims shall not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim.

Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the Preliminary Amendment filed on April 3, 2002 and April 23, 2002, which removed the multiple dependent claims, and also added new